G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20-12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

191 .

(Madurai)

File No. 131

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the claim of Belakal S to the appointment of "Upanishad Parayana" in Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangam holding that the post is not a hereditary one -

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Appointment of Upanishad Parayana &c in the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Sreerangapatam.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 126 dated 1st Dec: 1902 from the Dy Commissioner Mysore District.

One Madhavaiengar was the Baravarddar of Stotra Parayana and Upanishad Parayana" appointment in the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Sreerangapatam — He died on the 29th June 1899 and at the time of revising the Temple Establishment in April 1900,

2.

The late Mugrai Suntay appointed one Saragur Narasimhachar to his place. In the remark Col: of the revised Jasdik Sethi, under the head 'Establishment', he has recorded the following order:-

" [illegible]
" [illegible]
" [illegible]
" [illegible] ⁂ [illegible]
" [illegible]".

⁂ [illegible]

2. One Belatoor Sreenivasa Iyengar presented the marginally-noted ⊗ petitions to the late Mugrai Suntay ~~[illegible]~~ applying for the post held by the deceased Madhaviengar and stated that he was the sole Varasdar

⊗ Pet No 99 dated 17th July 1899
" 1592 " 12th 1900
" 225 " 28th June 1900

to

to the said Madhavingar and as such performed his funeral ceremonies also. In reply, the Mugrai Secretary gave him an Endorsement* intimating him that the appointment in question was not a ~~k~~ Hakdari one –

* No 144 dated 23rd August 1900

3 The said Belatoor Sreenivasa Iyengar again presented two petitions to this Office complaining that the appointment had been given to Sarogur Narasimhachar; and stating that he (petitioner) was the proper Hakdar to the deceased Madhavingar, whose funeral ceremonies he performed; that he had obtained

obtained the Varas certificate[x] from the Civil Court; & that Saragur Narasimha-char, who is now looking after the duties of Madhavinagar, filed a suit against him (petr) for a sum of Rs 99-14-0 due to him by the deceased and obtained a Decree[⊗], which amount he accordingly paid to the said Saragur Narasimhachar. Under these circumstances he prayed that the appointment held by the deceased be given him.

4. The Dy Commissioner, whose report was called for on the subject, states in reply[⁂] that Madhavinagar died in 1899, leaving apparently

x A copy of which he has submitted with his petn.

⊗ A copy of the Decree he has also subd

⁂ In his ~~Letter~~ Dkt no 126 dated 1st December 1902

apparently no direct heirs.
that during the revision
of the temple Establishment
in April 1900 Sarogur
Narasimhachar was
appointed in place of the
deceased Madhavinagar;
that the petr Belatoor
Srinivasa Iyengar is
reported to be the sister's
son of the deceased &
to have performed the
obsequies of the deceased
and succeed to the property
left by him. The Offg
Sub-Dn Officer Mr C. B.
Seshagiri Rao states
the same thing as the
Dy Commr and adds
that the petr Srinivasa
Iyengar

does not appear to have urged his claim to the Office on the death of Madhaviengar, which took place in 1899.

[Office note:- This is not the case - As has been already noted in para 2 of this note, he applied for the appointment just about a fortnight immediately after the death of Madhaviengar i.e. on the 17th July 1899; and has been repeatedly petitioning this Office on the subject, having submitted 5 petns in all since the death of Madhaviengar.

5. The Amildar (Mr Seekantiah is of opinion that the petnr Srinivasa Iyengar is competent to do the duties of the deceased Madhaviengar and also states that at the time of filling up the appointment due enquiry was not made about the Varasdars of the deceased

6. Surogur Narasimhachar who has now been doing the duty, has given a statement before the Amildar that the deceased Madhaviengar was

was his brother-in-law (Sister's husband); that he performed the obsequies of his own Sister; that at the time of his appointment to the ~~d~~ place of the deceased, the question of the Varas ~~did~~ was not raised and that, as he was petitioning the Magrai Superindent applying for an appointment, that officer gave him the post ~~of~~ held by the deceased Madhavinagar, as it ~~happened~~ happened to be vacant.

7 A Mahajan has also been received with the records to the effect that

that the petitioner (Balaton Sreenivasa Iyengar) is the sister's son of the deceased and that there ~~is the~~ are no other Varasdars to the deceased than the petitioner.

The salary attached to the appointment is Rs 4 per mensem.

Submitted

[illegible]
15/12/02

Subd to the Dewan

This is not a hereditary appointment such as that of Archak in temples, and it is with that view that Mr Srinivasa Charla made his own selection of a successor. I think the

appointment made in 1899
need not be disturbed
The Pater may be informed
that as the ~~appointment~~ post is
not a hereditary one
Govt are not disposed
to disturb the appointment
made in 1899.

13/3/03

9031
02014.3.03

Yes — with the
addn that the
Pater cannot
considered when the
next vacancy occurs

15.III.03

1/11
11/3/03

No. 16 Dl
Encl 2 1/7/02
& 17

Offl memo

The accompanying Petn no 579 & 1422 dated 3rd December 1901 & 4/6/02, from Belatur Sreenivasa Iyengar of Sreerangapatam regarding the appointment of Upanishad Parayana and other services in the Sreerangunathaswamy Temple ~~is~~ are forwarded together with its enclosures, to the Dy Comr, Mysore Dt for favour of a detailed report.

Bno

Endt to petrer

Ls

For Dy Secy

3/1/02

Date
REG. No. 579
MUZRAI BRANCH

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Submitted for orders with [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

3/2/02

[illegible]

1900 [illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible] (99-14-0) [illegible]

[illegible] 1899-900 [illegible] 755 [illegible]

[illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

[illegible] 31-12-1901 [illegible]

Certificate to collect debts
Act XXVII of 1860
Form no 35 civil

In the court of the District judge Mysore

Application no 56 of 1901-1902

In the matter of the estate of the late Madhava Iyengar.

Amount of the debts in respect of which this certificate is granted.

Rupees (150-0-0) one hundred and fifty only.

To Sreenivasa Iyengar son of Balatore Sesha Iyengar residing in Seringpatam

In pursuance of the orders of this court dt. this 30th day of August 1901 this certificate is granted to you agreeably to the provisions of Act XXVII of 1860.

you are hereby authorized and empowered to demand and receive all debts payable in respect of the estate of the said Madhava Iyengar giving acquittance for all sums received by you.

you shall, after the expiration of 12 months from this date and thereafter whenever required to do, file a statement

on oath of all monies recovered or realized by you under this certificate.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this 2nd day of September 1901

Tirumalachar
District Judge
Mysore

[illegible]

[illegible]

Central Jail Press, Bangalore - 10-11-93-5202

True copy

[illegible] 31.12.1901 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] తేదీ
[illegible] నెం. 2001
[illegible]
[illegible]
క్రమ సం. 13.

శ్రీ రంగనాథస్వామి దేవాలయానికి సంబంధించి
1999 2001 లు 2 సంవత్సరాల (రెండు)
నివేదిక

నిర్ణయం - శ్రీ రంగనాథస్వామి దేవాలయం నందు స్వామి
శ్రీనివాసుడి బ్రహ్మోత్సవాలు నిర్వహించుట
జరిగింది —

ప్రతిపాదన - శ్రీ రంగనాథస్వామి దేవాలయం నందు
శ్రీనివాసుడి బ్రహ్మోత్సవాలు నిర్వహించుట
ఆమోదించుట —

నెల్లూరు జిల్లా సంతపేటలో ఉన్న 12-2-92 లో
నిర్మించిన శ్రీ రంగనాథస్వామి దేవాలయం
లో 99-14-లో నిర్వహించిన బ్రహ్మోత్సవాలు
కొరకు జిల్లా కలెక్టరు [illegible] ఆలయం
ప్రతిపాదనపై చేసిన [illegible] ఆలయ
కమిటీ - - - - -
ఆలయంలో నిత్య పూజలు నిర్వహించుటకు
కమిటీ శ్రీనివాసులు మరియు సం. అధ్యక్షులు
కమిటీలో నిత్య పూజలు నిర్వహించుటకు
నిర్ణయించారు. 99-14 లో నిర్వహించిన
బ్రహ్మోత్సవాలకు చేసిన ఖర్చు వివరాలు
కమిటీ ఆమోదించుట కొరకు ఆలయ
కమిటీ ప్రతిపాదించుట జరిగింది - - -

[illegible]
[illegible] (13-8-) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7-8-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-8-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-8-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 0-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 5-0-0 0-0-0

[illegible] 13-8-0-0-0

[illegible] (13-8-) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 262 [illegible] 12.12-99

[illegible] 0-3-0

[illegible] 0-6 [illegible] 19.12.99

0-3-6

[illegible] 19-12-99

Refer
4/6/02

Date 4-6-02
No. 122

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1902 [illegible]
[illegible]
No. 579 / 31-2-02 [illegible] 2 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
2 [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 2-6-1902 [illegible]

No. 680 Ent D 6/10/02
Muz F. 15-95
2681 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 553 dated 24.9.02 received at the Dewan's Office from Belatur Srini Vasa Iyengar of Sriranga patam, regarding the appointment of Upani-shad Parayana and other services in the Sriranga-natha Swami Temple

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District (for favor of a detailed report) in continuation of this office memo: no: 16 dated 1.7.02

By Order,

Dft. 6/10/02

BANGALORE,

183

} Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muzr: Deptt

Endt - Wpetwr

444

(General Serial No 30)

189- 1902

From H. Ramanujiengar Esqr
Officiating Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr
Deputy Secretary, to the Bt.

Dated 1st December Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1 b } 189
No.

Enclosures No. 1

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 4-
REG. No 444
MUZRAI BRANCH

Recd
4/12/02

Deputy Secretary's No. 16 of the 1st July 1902 calling for a detailed report in reference to one Belatur Srinivassiengar's petition* regarding the appointment of Upanishad Parayana in Sriranganathaswamin temple in Seringapatam.

* herewith returned

— " —

Has the honor to report that the last holder of the office in question was one Madhaviengar; that the said Madhaviengar died in 1899, leaving apparently no direct heirs; and that

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—4-7-98.—7,552.

in April 1900, when the temple Establishment was revised, the present incumbent, Sargur Narasimhae was appointed by Government in place of the deceased Madhaviengar, as will be seen from the Enclosed Extract from the revised Schedule of Establishment.

The petitioner Sreenevassiengar is reported to be the sister's son of the deceased Madhaviengar, and to have performed the obsequies of the deceased and succeeded to the property left by him.

The French Rocks Sub division Officer report on the subject is herewith forwarded for perusal.

× No. 419 of the 22d. November (in original)

[signature]
For Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Murrai.

Read:—

Petition No. 1122 dated 4th June 1902 from Belatur Srinivasiengar regarding the appointment of "Upanishad Parayana" in the Sri Ranga Nathaswami temple at Srirangapatam.

And,

Docket No. 126 dated 1st December 1902, from the Dy. Comr. Mysore Distt., reporting that the last holder of the appointment in question was one Madhaviengar, that on his death in 1899, one Sargur Narasimhachar was appointed to the place in April 1900, when the temple establishment was revised, and that the petitioner Srinivasienga is the sister's son of the

deceased Madhaviengar.

~~and that no claims were urged by Srinivasiengar on the death of Madhaviengar.~~

— " —

Order thereon No No 1937 En VPP
Imos: P 15-95

Dy 20/3/03.

~~Government~~

~~Consider that the~~

The appointment of Upanishad Parayana is not a hereditary one, ~~even if it were so,~~ and the Petr is not a direct descendant of the previous holder of the appointment. Govt are not ~~and they are not disposed~~ therefore disposed to disturb ~~it now.~~ the appointment of Sargur Narsimhachar made in 1899.

~~They are however willing to consider~~ The petitioner's claims ~~of will~~ may however be considered ~~the petitioner Srinivasiengar~~ when the next vacancy occurs.

18/3/03

Dft
Dewan's order

Dy Secretary
Murrai Deptt

18/3/03

To
The Dy Comr Mysore Dt
returning Ver: papers

M. No. 1938 Dy 20/3/03.
Mus F. 15-95

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition ~~dated~~ No 1122 dated 4th June 1902, in regard to the appointment of "Upanishad Parayana" in the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Sirangapatam, Belabur Srinivasiengar (...) ~~is informed that~~ is informed that Govt consider that the appointment is not hereditary and that they are not disposed to disturb ~~it now~~ the appointment of Sangur Narasimhachar made in 1899. ~~They are however willing to consider~~ The claims of the petitioner will however be when the next vacancy occurs.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
MUZRAYI DEPT,
THE 18th March ~~189~~ 03.

Dewan's order
Dft

By Order,
Dy ~~Muzrayi~~ Secretary.
Muzrai Dept

18/3/03

18/3/03